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European law has had, and continues to have, a powerful effect in broadening and strengthening national laws on equality of the EU’s member states. This leaflet briefly explains the relationship between European and national law in this area.

For further information contact: Georgina Siklossy - georgina@enar-eu.org
What is the European Union?

The European Union (EU) is an economic and political partnership of European countries normally referred to as the ‘member states’. The EU was set up in the aftermath of World War Two to bring peace, stability and prosperity to Europe. It is more than just a confederation of countries, but it is not a federal state. It is, in fact, a new type of structure that does not fall into any traditional legal category. Its political system is historically unique and has been constantly evolving over more than 50 years. It acts in a wide range of policy areas - economic, social, regulatory and financial - where its action is beneficial to the member states. Its only powers are those given to it by its member states and it makes laws within these powers. The EU now has 27 member states.

The Treaties setting out the EU’s powers

The EU is not a single political unit based on a single international treaty. The EU is a complex legal structure based on a number of different interconnected treaties that have evolved over time. The pace and destination of this evolution has affected the way in which European equality law has developed, so it is worth providing a summary of how this complex legal structure has evolved.

The starting point is the Treaty of Rome in 1957. This created the ‘European Economic Community’ (EEC) to ‘ensure the economic and social progress’ of member states as well as the ‘constant improvement of their living and working conditions’.

Initially the main focus was on making economic progress by securing the freedom of movement of capital, workers, goods and services across Europe. In 1973 the heads of government of the member states decided that people in Europe could not be expected to accept the disruption associated with such economic progress without a parallel effort to secure social progress. A social action programme was drawn up, leading to new laws on matters such as social security and equal treatment for men and women in employment and promoting the idea of social ‘solidarity’ that still underpins much of the EU’s work on equality.

In 1992, the Treaty of Maastricht created a new legal and political entity called for the first time ‘the European Union’. This did not replace the Treaty of Rome but operated alongside it. Maastricht committed member states to work to bring about an ‘ever closer union’, resulting in co-operation in immigration, justice and home affairs, and so bringing a sharper focus on issues with human rights implications.

In 1997, member states agreed the Treaty of Amsterdam, which included strengthened powers to take social measures, such as fresh powers to legislate on discrimination. It also created the concept of European citizenship. It substantively amended both the Rome and Maastricht Treaties but it did not consolidate them into one single treaty. This lack of consolidation has made the law unnecessarily complex and difficult to understand.

To avoid confusion, since the amendment of earlier treaties in Amsterdam, the Treaty of Rome is always called the European Community or EC Treaty, and the Maastricht Treaty is always called the European Union or EU Treaty. Official references to Articles of these Treaties always contain, as appropriate, the suffix EC or EU.

Alongside these reforms, a Charter of Fundamental Rights was drawn up by representatives of the member states, the European Commission, the European Parliament and members of national parliaments, stating the fundamental rights that underpinned, and inspired these changes. The Charter was adopted in Nice in December 2000, with a whole chapter, or section, on equality.
Although it is frequently referred to by the European Court of Justice, member states accord it less significance than the EC or EU Treaties.

Member states considered that consolidation was necessary. In December 2007 in Lisbon member states agreed the text of a constitution for the EU to bring together the EC and EU Treaties, and the Charter, into a single treaty. However, this new treaty will not have legal effect unless it is ratified internally by all member states. Most member states have done so, but Ireland rejected the treaty in a referendum in 2008. If, and when, all member states ratify the treaty, the content of the Charter will have greater legal significance.

The key political institutions of the EU

The most important political institutions of the European Union (EU) are the Council, the Parliament, and the Commission. Each has a different role.

Essentially the Commission’s role is to propose how the EU’s mandate should be taken forward through legislation and other forms of political action. It has a President and one Commissioner from each member state. The department of the Commission that deals with anti-discrimination provisions is the Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities.

The Parliament, which is directly elected, exercises democratic oversight by reviewing the work of the Commission. It can also be involved in the proposal of some legislation.

The Council is a more amorphous body; it is made up of Ministers from each member state. Each member state takes it in turn to run the Council for six months when it is said to have ‘the Presidency’. There is no fixed membership of the Council: each member state sends the most appropriate Minister for the subject under debate at any particular meeting.

What is European law?

When we talk of European law we are referring to a number of forms that the law takes.

Firstly, the EC and EU Treaties provide the primary legislation from which the institutions and law-making bodies of the EU derive authority and power. Confusingly, both Treaties include powers to make secondary legislation, but also contain some rights that can be enforced by individuals against other individuals. The right to equal pay for work of equal value in Article 141 EC is one such right.

There are two principal forms of secondary legislation - Regulations and Directives. Regulations always have immediate full effect in the legal order of member states and the rights that they convey can always be relied on by individuals. By contrast, Directives are an instruction to member states to introduce legislation that conforms to the requirements of the Directive. Directives require particular results to be achieved (for example, the elimination of particular forms of discrimination), but national authorities are left to choose the way in which to achieve the Directive’s objectives. This allows some discretion to take account of specific national circumstances.

The institutions of the EU only have power to make Regulations and Directives to the extent that the EC and EU Treaties permit. Sometimes secondary European legislation is challenged as being outside the scope of any of the Treaties. If so, it can be declared of no effect.

A third source of law is the European Court of Justice (ECJ). The ECJ gives rulings on the meaning and effect of the Treaties and of secondary legislation. In doing so, it has built up a body of case law
which is based on the adoption and development of general principles of law common to the
member states, including provisions of international law such as those in the European Convention
on Human Rights (ECHR). The ECHR is the treaty which protects the civil and political rights of citizens
of the member states of the Council of Europe (see below for further details of the Council of
Europe).

**European anti-discrimination law**

From the beginning, the Treaty of Rome addressed discrimination in relation to nationality and
gender. Initially this stemmed from concerns that market integration would be undermined if free
movement of labour was limited by discrimination based on nationality between citizens of member
states.

Article 6 of the Treaty of Rome (now Article 12 EC) prohibited any discrimination on the grounds of
nationality of a member state. To supplement this, a Regulation was made in 1968 (Regulation
1612/68). This made detailed provision in relation to all aspects of discrimination against member
states’ nationals (and their families) when exercising their rights to move freely around the EU in
order to work. Article 119 of the Treaty of Rome (the precursor to Article 141 EC) gave women and
men the right to equal pay for work of equal value.

For the first twenty years after the foundation of the EEC there were no specific laws at European
level clarifying the extent to which these two Articles could be relied on by individuals. However, that
changed in 1976 when, in one of the most important of all its rulings, the ECJ held that the original
Article 119 could be relied on by individuals against companies and other individuals, even in the
absence of national legislation.¹ At the same time, a series of Directives prohibiting sex discrimination
more widely were issued complementing the principle of equal pay in Article 119; the most
significant were the Equal Treatment Directive 1976 (76/207/EEC)² and the Equal Pay Directive 1975
(75/117/EEC).

Subsequent ECJ rulings have ensured that sex discrimination is widely defined. Thus the ECJ has ruled
that the prohibition on sex discrimination in the Equal Treatment Directive includes discrimination
against transsexual people (on grounds of gender reassignment) and discrimination for reasons of
pregnancy and maternity.

There was no mention of a prohibition on discrimination in relation to age, disability, race or ethnic
origin, religion or belief, and sexual orientation until the Treaty of Amsterdam, but that Treaty added
a new Article 13 EC that empowered the Council, on a proposal by the Commission, to take steps to
combat discrimination on these grounds.

Article 13 EC has been used by the Council to make important anti-discrimination Directives. The first
was the **Race Equality Directive** (2000/43/EC)³ which implemented the principle of equal treatment
between persons irrespective of racial or ethnic origin in relation to not only employment and

¹ Case 43/75 Defrenne v SABENA (No 2) [1976] ECR 455.
² A subsequent Consolidating Directive 2006/54 (‘on the implementation of the principle of equal opportunities
and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation - recast’) came into effect
from 15th August 2006. Other sex discrimination directives include: Directive 97/80/EC on the Burden of Proof
97/75/EC; the Equal Treatment (Social Security) Directive (79/7/EEC); and the Pregnant Workers Directive
92/85/EC.
training, but also education, social protection and social advantages (including social security and healthcare), involvement in organisations of workers and employers and access to goods and services, including housing.

Soon after this, an Employment Equality Directive covering age, disability, religion or belief and sexual orientation and establishing ‘a general framework for equal treatment in employment and occupation’ (2000/78/EC) was made. As its name indicates, this Directive is restricted to the employment sphere.

A further Directive has recently been made banning sex discrimination in access to goods and services (2004/113/EC). In the summer of 2008 the Commission proposed a Directive in relation to goods and services discrimination on the grounds of age, disability, religion or belief and sexual orientation. This proposal will be debated in the course of 2009 and may be approved by the Council under the Swedish Presidency in the second half of 2009, though it is unlikely to come into effect fully for some time.

How does EU law affect national law and its interpretation?

All laws passed at the European level are treated as being legally superior to national laws. This means that, should European law and national law conflict, European law must be made to prevail if possible. This is achieved in the first place by disregarding national legislation which is inconsistent with European laws that have direct effect in a specific dispute. Secondly, national courts are required to interpret the national law in a way that gives it a meaning, so far as possible, that is consistent with European law, regardless of whether national legislation predated the European law.

The ECJ helps this process because it can give preliminary rulings on the proper interpretation of European law in any particular context. Any court or tribunal can refer a case to the ECJ for a ruling on the effect of European law. A court can do this either on its own accord or on being asked by one of the parties to the case.

When this happens, the case is halted until the ECJ has ruled, after which the court or tribunal must seek to give effect to the ECJ judgement. This may even require an interpretation inconsistent with ordinary meaning of the law or the reading-in of additional words if this is considered necessary to give effect to European law.

If it is impossible for the court to interpret the national law in a way that complies with the ECJ interpretation of European law, then the national government must pass legislation to bring national law into line with the relevant EC Directives.

What happens if a Directive is not introduced into national law?

Generally individual citizens are only given rights once a national law has been passed giving effect to a Directive. However, the ECJ has ruled that where an individual has suffered loss as the result of a state’s failure to give effect to a Directive by passing a national law, then that State, or its organs, cannot put forward the fact that they have failed to correctly implement the directive. Consequently, individuals may rely on the provisions of the Directive against all State agencies and public bodies.

---


By contrast, an individual who feels that they have been discriminated against, by reference to a Directive that has not been fully and properly implemented in the national law of a member state, cannot invoke its provisions in court if the employer (or other person against whom a claim is made) is in the private sector. However, they may be able to claim damages against the government - see below.

**Action by the European Commission**

After the enactment of a Directive, the EU member states will generally be given a period of time within which to bring their domestic law in line with the objectives of the Directive. This is known as ‘transposing’ the Directive.

Once the deadline has elapsed, if a member state has failed to communicate to the Commission its national measures implementing the Directive it will be notified that the required deadline has passed and asked to give its reasons.

Where a member state claims to have transposed a Directive, it may nevertheless be notified by the Commission that its national law does not conform with that Directive. The Member state will be given a reasonable time by the European Commission to make its laws conform.

If the issue is not settled during the preliminary stages of the infringement process, and the European Commission believes that a member state is still in breach of Community law, the ECJ will be called on to pronounce on the matter. If the ECJ upholds the Commission’s view, it may impose a financial penalty on the member state in question.

**Giving direct effect to a Directive**

Where a member state has set out to implement a Directive but does not appear to have succeeded in doing so, the ECJ has ruled that the courts of that State must do everything possible to interpret the text of the implementing legislation in a way which is consistent with the Directive.

Likewise, the ECJ has ruled that where a member state has failed to implement a Directive, that State cannot deny citizens those rights in the Directive that are expressed in a way that is sufficiently precise and unconditional in the text. So Directives can have direct effect in disputes between individuals and a body that is a part of the State. These bodies are called ‘emanations of the state’. There is a wide range of such bodies carrying out State functions including nationalised industries.

**Challenging a member state’s failure to implement a Directive**

Individuals or organisations that wish to challenge their government for failing to introduce a law that fully gives effect to a Directive can, in certain circumstances, take a case to the National Court. The specific procedure and conditions will vary depending on the country concerned.\(^6\)

Interested parties can also request the Commission to take proceedings against a government. If the Commission considers the complaint valid it will ask the member state to submit observations. If the issue cannot be resolved, the Commission will send an opinion to the State asking that the

---

\(^6\) For details specific to each member state please see ENAR national leaflets ‘Responding to Racism in Europe’, which provide practical information on the tools available through national legislation and NGOs to challenge racial discrimination, available at [www.enar-eu.org/Page.asp?docid=15808&langue=EN](http://www.enar-eu.org/Page.asp?docid=15808&langue=EN).
infringement cease. If the State fails to comply the Commission may take enforcement action against
the member state in the ECJ.

Individuals who are adversely affected in a way which they think is prohibited by the Directive, but
not adequately addressed in the national law, may nevertheless be entitled to claim compensation
from the national government, where the failure to transpose the Directive has led to a loss being
sustained by an individual, provided that the failure is sufficiently clear and obvious. 7

What other European bodies are there?
The most significant other European body is the Council of Europe. It was set up in 1949 to defend
human rights, pluralist democracy and the rule of law. All EU member states are also members of
the Council of Europe. Following the collapse of communism, membership expanded rapidly to take in
the new countries of Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union. It therefore has a broader
membership than the EU, as well as a narrower set of powers and responsibilities.

The Council of Europe seeks to develop and protect common and democratic principles throughout
Europe based on the European Convention on Human Rights which sets out a range of human rights
and fundamental freedoms. These must be respected by all the member countries of the Council of
Europe. Members are expected to ratify the Convention at the earliest opportunity. The Convention
set up the European Court of Human Rights in 1959. People in countries whose government has
ratified the Convention can take a case to the European Court of Human Rights and seek to establish
that their rights have been breached.

In addition the European Social Charter guaranteeing social and economic human rights was
adopted by the Council of Europe in 1961 (and revised in 1996). The European Committee of Social
Rights (ECSR) is responsible for monitoring compliance with the Charter by member countries.

For more information
- ENAR national leaflets on ‘Responding to racism in Europe’:
  www.enar-eu.org/Page.asp?docid=15808&langue=EN
- European Commission, DG Employment, Social Affairs & Equal Opportunities:
  http://ec.europa.eu/social/home.jsp?langId=en
- European Court of Justice: http://curia.europa.eu/jcms/jcms/Jo1_6308/curia
- European Charter of fundamental Rights:
  http://ec.europa.eu/justice_home/unit/charte/index_en.html
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The Equality and Diversity Forum is a network of UK organisations committed to progress on age, disability, gender and gender identity, race, religion and belief, sexual orientation and broader equality and human rights issues.

ENAR is a network of some 600 NGOs working to combat racism in all EU member states. ENAR is determined to fight racism, xenophobia, anti-Semitism and Islamophobia, to promote equality of treatment between EU citizens and third country nationals, and to link local/regional/national and European initiatives.